Award Proposal Scoring Rubric

Criterion	Excellent	Very Good	Needs Improvement	No Evidence	Notes/Comments
	4	3	2	1	
Intellectual Merit/ Significance	Project addresses an important issue in the field Project's results will advance our current knowledge about the topic Project describes the anticipated implications of the findings for practice, policy, and research, including the impact of the findings on fostering diversity, equity, and inclusion	Project addresses an important issue in the field Project's results have the potential to advance our current knowledge about the topic Project describes the anticipated implications of the findings for practice, policy, and research, including the impact of the findings on fostering diversity, equity, and inclusion somewhat	Project addresses an important issue in the field but in a limited way Project's results will advance our current knowledge about the topic, but in a limited way Project describes the anticipated implications of the findings for practice, policy, and research, including the impact of the findings on fostering diversity, equity, and inclusion but in a limited way	There is no discussion of the importance of why this topic needs to be investigated No discussion of how the project's results will advance our current knowledge about the topic Project does not describe the anticipated implications of the findings for practice, policy, and research, including the impact of the findings on fostering diversity, equity, and inclusion	

Innovation/Original	Project challenges	Project challenges	Project challenges	Project does not
	•	•		
ity	our current	our current	our current	challenge our
	knowledge about	knowledge about the	knowledge about the	current
	the topic	topic somewhat	topic, but in a limited	knowledge about
			way	the topic
	Project uses	Project is an		
	different	improvement upon	Project is an	No innovation
	approaches or	the methods used in	improvement upon	described or
	methods than have	previous studies or	the methods used in	specific potential
	been used in the	creative works and is	previous studies or	improvement
	past to research the	innovative	creative works, but	defined
	topic		does not seem to be	
		Project demonstrates	innovative	Project does not
	Project	a new way of		demonstrate a
	demonstrates a new	thinking about the	Project demonstrates	new way of
	way of thinking	topic somewhat	a new way of	thinking about
	about the topic	·	thinking about the	the topic
			topic, but in a limited	
			manner	
Methodology/	Overall, the	Overall, the methods	Overall, the methods	No discussion of
Approach	methods or	or approach (e.g.,	or approach (e.g.,	how the methods
	approach (e.g., data	data analysis, data	data analysis, data	or approach (e.g.,
	analysis, data	collection	collection	data analysis,
	collection	procedures) are	procedures) are not	data collection
	procedures) are	somewhat well-	well-reasoned for the	procedures) are
	well-reasoned for	reasoned for the	aims of the project	appropriate for
	the aims of the	aims of the project		the aims of the
	project		For collaborative	project
		For collaborative	multidisciplinary	
		multidisciplinary	awards, it is unclear	For collaborative
	For collaborative	awards, the project	how the project	multidisciplinary
	multidisciplinary	demonstrates how	demonstrates how	awards, there is
	awards, the project	interdisciplinary		no discussion of
	demonstrates how	, ,		how

	interdisciplinary perspectives inform the work	perspectives inform the work somewhat	interdisciplinary perspectives inform the work	interdisciplinary perspectives inform the work
Broader Impact	Project has the potential to increase our understanding of the issue locally or globally Project will have an impact on the communities in which the university resides Project will have an impact on how other disciplines will study the topic The dissemination plans are clearly	Project has some potential to increase our understanding of the issue locally or globally Project will have some impact on the communities in which the university resides Project will have some impact on how other disciplines will study the topic The dissemination plans are described somewhat	Project has limited potential to increase our understanding of the issue locally or globally Project will have little impact on the communities in which the university resides Project will have little impact on how other disciplines will study the topic The dissemination plans are described, but in a limited	Project does not have the potential to increase our understanding of the issue locally or globally Project will have no impact on the communities in which the university resides Project will have no impact on how other disciplines will study the topic
Potential for Extramural Funding	Project has a strong potential for	Project has a good potential for	manner Project has a some potential for	The dissemination plans are not described Project has little potential for
Extramata runumg	extramural funding and the proposer(s)	extramural funding and the proposer(s)	extramural funding, despite some	extramural funding due to

	identified several	identified several	shortcomings	the shortcomings	
	potential funders	potential funders	identified by the	identified by the	
			reviewer	reviewer	

Total	Score:	

In reflecting on the budget request, how reasonable/adequate is the budget for achieving the project outcomes?

Funding for the project seems reasonable.

Funding for the project seems inadequate.

Funding for the project seems overestimated.

If funding seems overestimated, where would you recommend modifications?

Recommendation for	Fund this proposal:	Consider this proposal:	Do not fund this
Funding: Based on the	At least three of the	At least two criteria	proposal in its current
above criteria, the	criteria were rated	were rated as	form:
project is likely to	"Excellent" with the	"Excellent;" at least	More than one
achieve its goals within	other two criteria rated	one was rated as "Very	criterion was rated as
the time frame	as "Very Good." No	Good;" and no more	"Needs Improvement"
designated for project	criteria are rated as	than one criterion was	or "No evidence."
completion.	"Needs Improvement"	rated as "Needs	With feedback, the
	or "No Evidence."	Improvement" or "No	proposer(s) could
		Evidence." With	revise the project for
		feedback, the	consideration in future
		proposer(s) could	award cycles.
		improve the project.	

Please provide your rat	ionale for why this p	roposal should be (1)	funded. (2) considered	. or (3) not funded:	
. rease provide your rac		. oposa. ssaia be (1)	ranaca, (2, considered	, o. (o, not landed.	
Comments to the Admi	nistrator:				
Comments to the Admi	nistrator:				